# **MCDR INSPECTION REPORT**

## 1.0 GENERAL

	GENERAL	
SN	Particulars	Details
1	Name of inspecting office &	S. Kartikeya, Jr. Mining Geologist,
	designation	Indian Bureau of Mines, Raipur
2	Accompany with Mine Officials	Shri Sanjay Shrivastava, Agent (Mines)
3	Date of inspection	01/07/2019
4	Name of the Mine	Medesara Limestone Mine
5	Total Lease Area (Ha) with	Forest: NIL
	breakup of Non-forest and	Non-forest: 12.85 Ha.
	forest land	Total Lease Area: 12.85 Ha.
6	Mine Code	38CHG05020
7	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988	IBM/15814/2013
8	Name of the lessee, Address,	M/s K. B. Lal & Sons
	phone, email and fax number	C/o Shri Sanjay Shrivastava
		G-4, Adarsh Nagar, Durg 491001
		Email: sanjayshrivastava74@gmail.com
		Mob.: +91 (0) 9827167911
9	Village	Medesara
10	Taluka / Mandal / District	Tehsil: Dhamdha, District: Durg
11	State	Chhattisgarh
12	Post office & PIN	Nandini, Pin Code: 491036
13	Nearest police station	Nandini Nagar
14	Nearest Railway station	Durg
15	Date of Grant of Mining	03/10/2011 to 02/10/2041 (30 Years)
	Lease	
16	Date of Execution	03/10/2011
17	Date of opening of Mine	03/04/2013
18	Date of first Renewal, if	03/10/2041 to 02/10/2061 (20 Years)
	applicable and its period &	As per section 8A of MMDR Amendment Act, 2015;
	expiry	Supplementary agreement for remaining 20 years
		has done)
19	Date of second Renewal, if	NA
	applicable and its period &	
00	expiry	
20	Date of submission of	NA
	renewal application if Mining	
	Operations are continuing	
21	under deemed extension Name of the Nominated	NA
21		
	Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date	
	of appointment	
22	Name of the Mine Agent with	Shri Sanjay Shrivastava
	Address, phone, email, fax	G-4, Adarsh Nagar, Durg 491001
	number and date of	Email: sanjayshrivastava74@gmail.com
	appointment	Mob.: +91 (0) 9827167911
		Date of Appointment: 05/09/2017
23	Name of the Mines Manager	Swarna Kumar Bandhe
	with Address, phone, email,	HIG-1/22, Borsi Colony, Durg 491001
	fax number and date of	Date of Appointment: 25/02/2014

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. – **<u>1.0 Exploration</u>** 

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	No exploration	Not applicable	Detail
		work has been		exploration
		proposed in the		as per
		approved		MEMC Rule

		document during Review period.		2015 is required.
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	Proposal has not been given in the approved document.	Not applicable	-
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year	Not mentioned in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Proposal has not been given in the approved document.	Not applicable.	
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019 (in Million Tonnes)		1.0496 Million Tonnes Under (122): 10.128 Million Tonnes	-
1f	General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	NIL	•	•

### 2.0 Development

CNI	Itom	Dranaada	Actual work	Domorko
SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development	2018-19: In	In addition to the	Deviation
	w.r.t. lease area (Range in	between local co-	proposed area	has been
	UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot	ordinates	development and	pointed
	No./Location)	(1) N140 to N215	production of	out.
	,	and E015 to E185	mineral has been	
		(2) N082 to N213	done in between	
		and E250 to E467	local co-ordinates	
			N215 to N335	
		(3) N340 to N425		
	- · · · ·	and E213 to E460	andE235 to E490	
2b	Separate benches in	Topsoil/OB: 01	Topsoil/OB: 01 no.	-
	topsoil, overburden and	no.	Mineral: 02 nos.	
	mineral (Rule 13)	Mineral: 03 nos.		
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB	1:0.60	1: 0.95	-
	ratio			
2d	Quantity of topsoil	36614.70 Cubic	Approx 30000 cubic	
	generation in m3	Meter	meter	
2e	Quantity of overburden	Meter		
26		-	-	
	generation in m3			
2f	General remarks of			
	inspecting officer on	NIL		
	development of pit w.r.t.			
	type of deposit etc.			

# 3.0 Exploitation

<u><u> </u></u>	Exploitation			
SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	02 nos.	02 nos.	-
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	150203.70 Metric Tonnes	63000.00 Metric Tonnes	-
3c	Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%	90%	-
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	15020.37 Metric Tonnes	No mineral reject has been generated during F.Y. 2018-19	-
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Not mentioned in the approved document.	-do-	-
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	No sub-grade mineral will be generated during review period.	Not applicable	-
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Not mentioned in the approved document.	-do-	-
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanised Crushing and Screening.	Mechanised Crushing and Screening.	-
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	Proposal has not been given in the approved documents.	Not applicable.	-
Зј	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Dia: 65 mm Depth: 3.3 m Burden: 1.2 m Spacing: 1.5 m	Dia: 65 mm Depth: 3.3 m Burden: 1.2 m Spacing: 1.5 m	-
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	<ol> <li>Compressor 04 nos. with 110 CFM Capacity</li> <li>Jackhammer 01 no. with 35/65 mm dia</li> <li>JCB 01 no. with 0.8 cum bucket capacity</li> <li>Tippers 02 nos. with 10 metric tonnes capacity</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Compressor 04 nos. with 110 CFM Capacity</li> <li>Jackhammer 01 no. with 35/65 mm dia</li> <li>JCB 01 no. with 0.8 cum bucket capacity</li> <li>Tippers 02 nos. with 10 metric tonnes capacity</li> </ol>	
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of	Topsoil/OB: 0.5 m to 2.0 m Mineral: 3.0 m	Topsoil/OB: 0.5 m to 2.0 m Mineral: 3.0 m	-

	mining proposed in MP/SOM			
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	10.692 Ha. up to end of review period.		
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0.60	1: 0.95	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Pit- 10.692 Ha. Waste/reject- 1.32 Ha. Others- 0.395 Ha. Up to end of review period.	Pit- 12.850 Ha. Waste/reject- NIL Ha. Others- NIL Ha.	Deviation has been pointed out for excess utilization of the lease area.
Зр	Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable (in Metric Tonnes)	Yr-         2014-15:           112500.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-         2015-16:           168750.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-         2016-17:           153129.85         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-         2017-18:           150379.80         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-         2018-19:           150203.70         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-         2018-19:	Yr-         2014-15:           1650.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-           Yr-         2015-16:           105100.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-           Yr-         2016-17:           103500.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-           Yr-         2017-18:           70000.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-           90100.00         Metric           Tonnes         Yr-           2018-19:         63000.00           Tonnes         Metric	-
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc.	NIL		<u>.</u>

### 4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 36, 37)	Proposal has been given in the approved document for separate dumping of Topsoil, OB and Mineral Reject.	Being done as per proposal.	-
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps (Range in UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot No./Location)	Along 7.5m barrier zone <b>Mineral:</b> In between local co-	MineralReject:Along7.5mbarrierzoneMineral:Inbetweenlocalco-ordinatesN232toN252and	

4c	Number of dumps within	Topsoil and OB: 01	Topsoil and OB: 01	-
	lease area and outside lease area	no. Mineral: 01 no.	no. Mineral: 01 no.	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t.	Dumps have been	Being done as per	
4u	ultimate pit limit (Rule 14)	proposed beyond	proposal.	-
		the ultimate pit		
		limit.		
4e	Number of active & alive	02 nos.	02 nos.	-
	dumps			
4f	Number of dead dumps	Not proposed in	Not applicable.	
		the approved		
4	Number of duman	document.	Net englischte	
4g	Number of dumps stabilised	Not proposed in the approved	Not applicable.	-
	Stabilised	the approved document.		
4h	Whether Retaining wall or	Construction of	Being done as per	
	garland drain all along	garland drain has	proposal.	
	dumps are there	been proposed	F F	
	•	along the 7.5 m		
		barrier zone, where		
		the dumping of		
		topsoil and		
		overburden will be		
		done during review		
4i	Length of Retaining wall or	period. Not mentioned in	Not applicable.	
-+1	garland drain all along	the approved	1101 applicable.	-
	dump	document.		
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not mentioned in	Not applicable.	-
	01	the approved		
		document.		
4k	Specific comments of	NIL		
	inspecting officer			

# 5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Proposal has been given for full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling during conceptual stage.	Area not matured for backfilling.	-
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Proposal has not been given in the approved document.	-do-	-
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 36)	Proposal has been given for concurrent use of topsoil for restoration of the ground.	Being done as per proposal.	-

5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Reclamation has been proposed along the 7.5 m barrier zone.	Being done as per proposal.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	NIL	

### 6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on	Not submitted for F.Y.	Deviation has been	INCIDALINS
0a	PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23. Details should be given in	2018-19.	pointed out.	-
	the format as given in Annexure-20.			
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches			-
	i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha)	0.040 Ha.	0.040 Ha.	
	ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year	100 nos. 50 nos.	100 nos. 3000 nos.	
	iv) Cumulative no. of plants	150 nos.	3100 nos.	
	<ul> <li>v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation</li> </ul>	By plantation	By plantation	
	vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	Rs. 12500/-	No record has been maintained.	
6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means	Proposal has not been given for reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling of voids area within lease area.	Not applicable.	-
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation	50 nos.	3000 nos.	-
	ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	0.040 Ha. By plantation.	0.040 Ha. By plantation.	
6e	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Proposal has been given in the approved document for regular monitoring of	Being done as per proposal.	-

		environmental parameters.	
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive	NIL	

### 7.0 Mineral Conservation

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Mechanised Crushing Sizing and Screening have been proposed in the approved document before dispatch of ore/mineral from lease area.	Being done as per proposal.	-
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Mechanised Crushing Sizing and Screening have been proposed	Being done as per proposal.	-
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	Proposal has not been given in the approved document for grade wise sorting of mineral/ore.	Not applicable.	-
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not proposed in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	NIL		

#### 8.0 Environment

CN	Itom	Dranagala	Actual work	Domorko
SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 36)	Proposal for separate removal of topsoil and its utilization has been given in the approved document.	Not Applicable.	-
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Concurrent use of topsoil has been given for restoration of the ground.	Being done as per proposal.	-
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 37)	Separate dumping of OB, waste rock, rejects and fines have been proposed in the approved document.	Being done as per proposal.	-
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Use of OB and waste has been proposed in the approved document for	Being done as per proposal.	-

		restoration of the ground to its original stage.		
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations has been proposed in the approved document.	Being done as per proposal at 7.5 m barrier zone.	-
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 44)	50 nos. of plantation has been proposed every year.	2018-19: 3000 nos. of plantation has been done along 7.5 m barrier zone.	-
8g	Survival rate	Not mentioned in the approved document.	Approx 50%	-
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling through water tanker has been proposed in the approved document.	Being done as per proposal.	-
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines are	NIL		

# 9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

S. N.	Item	COMMENTS	Remarks
	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	M.R. Submitted upto – June 2019 A.R. submitted upto- F.Y. 2018-19	NIL

S. N.	Item	Details GIVEN in A.R.	Observation of I/Officer	Remarks
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer: Shri Swarn Kumar Bandhe Geologist: Shri Suhanshu Nigam Manager: NIL	Mining Engineer: Shri Swarn Kumar Bandhe Geologist: Shri Suhanshu Nigam Manager: NIL	NIL
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Pit- 10.692 Ha. Waste/reject- 1.32 Ha. Others- 0.395 Ha. Up to end of review period.	Pit- 25.70 Ha. Waste/reject- NIL Ha. Others- NIL Ha.	12.85 Ha. area has been shown under Already exploited & abandone d by Opencast (O/C) mining,

				which is
				which is
				incorrect.
				Deviation
				has been
				pointed out.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return	Within lease area:	Within lease area:	-
	on Afforestation	3000 nos.	3000 nos.	
		Outside lease	Outside lease area:	
		area: 500 nos.	500 nos.	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return	NIL mentioned in	No mineral reject	-
	on mineral reject	the annual returns.	present in the mine.	
	generation (Grade &			
	quantity)			
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return	ROM: NIL	ROM: NIL	Up to June
	on ROM stock and/or	Graded Ore:	Graded Ore:	2019
	graded ore	10626.370 Metric	10626.370 Metric	
		Tonnes.	Tonnes.	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return	Sale Value: Rs.	Sale Value: Rs.	Incorrect
	on sale value, Ex. Mine	28726475.00	28726475.00	cost of
	price & production cost	Ex-Mine Price: Rs.	Ex-Mine Price: Rs.	production
		475.00 Per Metric	475.00 Per Metric	has been
		Tonnes	Tonnes	calculated
		Cost of	Cost of Production:	as only rate
		Production: Rs.	Rs. 80.00 Per Metric	of royalty
		80.00 Per Metric	Tonnes.	has been
		Tonnes.		considered
				for
				calculation.
				Deviation
				has been
				pointed out.
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return	Value of Fixed	Value of Fixed	NIL
	on fixed assets	Assets (in ₹) 0.0	Assets (in ₹) 0.0	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return	Given in the annual	Given.	NIL
	on mining machineries	returns.		
L		rotarrio.		

10- Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:-

No outstanding violations.

Date of Last Inspection, Name and Designation of the Inspecting Officer:

Shri S. Kartikeya, Jr. Mining Geologist, Date of Inspection: 01/06/2017

Details of the Violations Pointed-out during Inspections:

नियम उल्लंघन के प्रकार का विस्तृत ब्यौरा		उल्लंघन के प्रकार का विस्तृत ब्यौरा
Rule	11	The following deviations have been found in the mine from approved Review of Mining Plan vide
(1)		letter no. DRG/LST/MPLN-1084/NGP-14-Raipur Dated 12/07/2013 for the period of 2016-17 to
		2020-21:
		Development: There was a proposal given for development and excavation of mineral/ore
		During F.Y. 2018-19:

	(1) N140 to N215 and E015 to E185
	(2) N082 to N213 and E250 to E467
	(3) N340 to N425 and E213 to E460
	Whereas, in addition to the proposed area, development and production of mineral has been done
	in between local co-ordinates N215 to N335 andE235 to E490.
Rule 26	A yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1st day of July
(2)	every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilative works carried out has not been
	submitted for the year 2018-19.
Rule 27	Financial Year 2018-19: It has been found that as per approved Review of Mining Plan, 12.04 Ha
(1)	lease area has been considered for calculation of Financial Assurance up to the end of the review
	period whereas, 12.85 Ha. lease area has been utilized till the end of F.Y. 2018-19.
	Financial Assurance in the form of Bank Guarantee for the excess used 0.81 Ha. lease area has to
	be submitted under rule 27(1) of MCDR, 2017 to the authorized officer.
Rule 45	Annual Return 2017-18 and 2018-19:
Rule 45 (7)	Annual Return 2017-18 and 2018-19: Part I:
	Part I:
	Part I: Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area
	<b>Part I:</b> Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is
	<b>Part I:</b> Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial
	<b>Part I:</b> Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.
	<ul> <li>Part I:</li> <li>Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.</li> <li>Part VII: Cost of Production:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Part I: Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.</li> <li>Part VII: Cost of Production: Incorrect cost of production has been reported in the annual returns as only royalty part has been</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Part I: Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.</li> <li>Part VII: Cost of Production: Incorrect cost of production has been reported in the annual returns as only royalty part has been considered for calculation. All the applicable part should be calculated for computation of cost of</li> </ul>
(7)	<ul> <li>Part I: Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.</li> <li>Part VII: Cost of Production: Incorrect cost of production has been reported in the annual returns as only royalty part has been considered for calculation. All the applicable part should be calculated for computation of cost of production per metric tonne.</li> </ul>
(7)	<ul> <li>Part I: Annual Return for the Financial Year 2018-19 has been scrutinized; it has been found that an area of 12.85 Ha. has been shown as abandoned opencast mining, whereas, no part of the lease is abandoned. Moreover total area degraded is exceeding from the area for which Financial Assurance given.</li> <li>Part VII: Cost of Production: Incorrect cost of production has been reported in the annual returns as only royalty part has been considered for calculation. All the applicable part should be calculated for computation of cost of production per metric tonne.</li> <li>Notice of Certain Appointment: Appointment notice of Manager (Mines) and Agent (Mines)</li> </ul>

(Name of the Inspecting Officer and Designation and Date)

(Shaival Kartikeya) Jr. Mining Geologist Indian Bureau of Mines, Raipur Date of Inspection: 01/07/2019